

Proposed Amendments to Restrictions - Abbey Street/Abbey Place, Faversham

ANNEX C

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
			1	
		1	1	Vehicles parked on Abbey Place, new proposals will block access and restrict overall vision Please consider (1) Cost of annual permit s/be higher for 4 wheel drivers and higher petrol consumption. (2) Charge residents who want a permit but have a drive or garage or wish to have more than one permit, as these resident remove valuable parking spaces
			1	Not the best solution. The bay o/s Abbey Cottage was reduced from 3 cars to 2 cars the last time it was painted. This person has marked the map showing 2 more bays o/s the side of 78 Abbey Street as you enter Abbey Place no specific observations
		1	3	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	20
Number of letters retu	4
Return Percentage	20
Support Percentage	25
Object Percentage	75

Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Abbey Street/Abbey Road, Faversham

ANNEX C

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1	1	Would appreciate if yellow lines could extend far enough to allow decent sight line when exiting Vastly required ASAP
		1	1	Lived opp The Maltings since it was built, can not recall anyone parking across it. Object to unnecessary DYL in Abbey St, no one respects, obeys or renews the ones we have already. Save the yellow paint.
		1		No through road sign needed o/s no 7
				Could do with No through rd sign too
			1	DYL across The Maltings unnecessary. Lived opp for 15 years have never seen entrance blocked by parked cars. The line on your map includes the entrance directly opp my house which is not The Maltings but a business premises Elizabeth Eaton. This entrance is also never blocked so DYL are not needed.
			1	Could DYL extend to include o/s Lammass Gate. Buses are having problems after 6.30pm because of single yellow line. Have known buses held up for 30 minutes. Two occasions fire engines have been held up until driver has been found. Single yellow also cause sight line problems for people driving out of Lammass Gate.
			1	Past 12 years car never parked in entrance to courtyard. Parking in Abbey Rd difficult due to no off street parking. Problem will be worse due to new development Abbey Rd/New Creek Rd. Traffic light, yellow lines would make no difference to sightlines when exiting garages. Road is unadopted, do not know what basis or right the yellow would be installed, what restrictions would apply, who would enforce them especially in the evening when congestion at its peak.
			1	no specific observations
		10	3	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	34
Number of letters retu	13
Return Percentage	38
Support Percentage	77
Object Percentage	23

Proposed Amendments to Restrictions - Athelstan Rd Area, Faversham

ANNEX C

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
		1		Do not own car
		1	1	People living at No 16 should be stopped parking on f/w corner of Athelstan/Kingsnorth. Support yellow line o/s 16 Athelstan/Kingsnorth. Object to parking limit going to 2 hrs
		1		Are Lorries allowing parking permits
		1	1	No objection to double yellow, Object to reduce parking from 4 to 2 hrs
		1		Problems arise when having work done your property. Special badge for this reason needed
		1		Proposals great, also need speed bumps to stop rat run and speeding kids
		1	1	Difficult enough for visitors to park o/s or rear, so 2 hrs is not long enough
		1		More frequent inspections needed
		1	1	Why pay to park when the road empty
		1		Please enforce these restrictions at the moment they are not.
		1		These measures are only effective if parking is regularly monitored, hopefully this is the case
		1		Would be nice to have dedicated parking bay. Large vans should not be allowed to park overnight.
		1	1	We have had no problems with the current parking restrictions
		1	1	No changes are necessary
		1	1	This will push cars into surrounding uncontrolled streets, and serves no purpose. It is parking at weekends and evenings when difficult due to volume of cars per h/hold
		1		Parking s/be permit holder only with marked bays. Strictly enforced
		1		Whole of Athelstan s/be permit holders in fairness to current permit holders whose parking areas are taken by non permit holders
		1		Should be changed to permit holders only. Suggest removing DYs on junction of Canute Road and Athelstan Road to increase available parking
		17	8	no specific observations
				TOTAL

Residents Consulted	60
Number of letters retu	25
Return Percentage	42
Support Percentage	68
Object Percentage	32

ANNEX C

Proposed Amendments to Waiting Restrictions - Fielding Street, Faversham

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
		1		Individual bays would make better use of space, cannot see how it is a conservation issue. This bay is a year later than promised. Why can visitors only stay a ridiculously short time. no specific observations
		1		
		1		
		1		
		4	0	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	11
Number of letters returned	4
Return Percentage	36
Support Percentage	100
Object Percentage	0

Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Makenae Avenue/Canterbury Road, Faversham

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
		1	1	
		1	1	Cars that are parking where the lines will be, will have to park further down the road. There is not enough room for everyone. Drop kerbs would be a better plan because when everyone parks here, lorries and vans cannot get through. We are old and disabled, it would be difficult to park further down the road and cannot afford a drop kerb.
		1	1	No reason for DYL, things worked well in past. Will cause problems between residents. Where do you propose cars park when driveways have been refused. Cause problems for partially sighted Mother. Would like RPS.
		1	1	Co-operation of majority of residents parking is manageable, but with yellow lines this will cause insufficient parking and ill will. We parked in Canterbury Rd slightly on f/w, police complained, now park Makenae Ave thanks to assistance of residents, this is our only option.
				no specific observations
		3	4	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	10
Number of letters returned	7
Return Percentage	70
Support Percentage	43
Object Percentage	57

Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Newton Road, Faversham

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		1		
		20	0	TOTAL

Good idea
 We long for this
 Proposal scheme does not seem excessive
 Earliest as possible with onset of winter expect the ambulances will be busy.
 Will this affect the coming and going of cars?
 Support with reservations. How about all the illegal parking in Newton Rd
 Ambulances need to pick up closer to lift and away from use of stairs by patients being collected
 no specific observations

Residents Consulted	40
Number of letters retu	20
Return Percentage	50
Support Percentage	100
Object Percentage	0

Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Preston Avenue/Bramley Avenue, Faversham

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
		1		Extend DYL another 25ft
		1		Extend yellow lines from Bramley Avenue up a few meters into Preston Avenue because this is where the problem is.
		1		Pleased to have yellow lines opp our drive.
		1	1	I have lived here for 20+ years. Before cars parked on the corner the road was like a race track.
				no specific observations
		4	1	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	12
Number of letters retu	5
Return Percentage	42
Support Percentage	80
Object Percentage	20

ANNEX C

Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Preston Avenue/Canterbury Road, Faversham

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes

1			1	Difficulty at times getting out of drive, and seeing people coming out of Preston Avenue. Need to stop cars parking Mackenrade Ave area end of this section as they park on the f/w also blocking the view of car drivers
1			1	By reducing the parking area does not help residents. Visibility on the corner has never been an issue. Help if speed limit reduced to 30 mph
1			1	no specific observations
3			1	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	5
Number of letters reitu	4
Return Percentage	80
Support Percentage	75
Object Percentage	25

Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Roman Road, Faversham

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
		1	1	This will create a bottle neck as access is already restricted on what is a busy road in the whole area Zone B is too large. Consequently central areas such as Stone St, Roman Rd etc are overcrowded with non-residents from other parts of 3 zone Letter says existing single yellow line, this is not true, there is a badly painted DYL on the west side of Roman Rd at jnc Stone St, heavy traffic buses etc turn this corner you would create a bottle neck. no specific observations
		1	2	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	10
Number of letters returned	3
Return Percentage	30
Support Percentage	33
Object Percentage	67

ANNEX C

Proposed Waiting Restrictions - South Road, Faversham

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
		1		Parked cars are making it impossible to see oncoming traffic from either direction. Dangerous

1					This is long awaited Fantastic. Had a few near misses Will improve access into our driveway, which we welcome
1					Even slightly extended to allow greater vision when exiting driveway.
1					About time. Fed up with cars nearly hitting us when exit our drive and blocking the entrance
1					Cars are driven very fast, using the bend to race or show off. Needs to be made safer
1					no specific observations
10				0	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	18
Number of letters retu	10
Return Percentage	56
Support Percentage	100
Object Percentage	0

ANNEX C

St John's Road Area - Proposed Amendment of 4 hour to 2 hour Waiting

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes

E-mail - We support the proposals to change all areas to 2 hour limit waiting period for non permit holders. We welcome the proposal to mark out parking bays. We would only support the reduction in double yellow lines if this maintained current safety arrangements. We are not supportive of any action that would take land from the recreation ground. These proposals have been a long time coming however we are pleased with them ... and would hope that the conservation officer is mindful of life in the 21st Century.

1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1

Hope this will allow residents to park during busy times and weekends
 Junction of Park Rd / Whitstable Rd there should be more room for incoming traffic from Whitstable Rd. Often blocked and dangerous when cars wait to turn out of Park Rd

St John's Road Area - Proposed Amendment of 4 hour to 2 hour Waiting

	1		Like speed restrictions/ traffic calming in Park Rd 20mph. Road adjacent to Recreation area, children always crossing road
--	---	--	--

1	1	Work at 44 East St approx 20 employees with a 6 car, car park. Moving cars every 2 hrs would be impossible. Can't afford money wasted on car park tickets especially when we may leave after 2-3 hours
1	1	Anything to increase parking is good. Perhaps time limit for non residents s/be 1 hr when events held in town.
1	1	Individual parking bays are a good idea
1	1	88 years old. Daughter visits 3 times a week to care for me and stays for 3.50 hours.
1	1	Get rid of 4 hours
1	1	Marked bays good idea
1	1	The different voucher zones s/be more numerous so that parking is restricted to smaller areas to protect residents parking.
1	1	86 years old daughter lives in Greenwich, visits several times a month. Would like visitors parking permits to continue.
1	1	2 hours not longer enough for visitors
1	1	With 4 hour restriction there is no problem finding parking, so moving to 2 hour is unnecessary
1	1	4hr restriction should remain in Park Rd or reconsider issuing a 3rd permit.
1	1	Nice to know someone is listening. Hope amendments go ahead
1	1	Work in Faversham and have to move my car at lunchtime. Not very realistic for workers to move cars very 2 hours
1	1	Yellow line will make matters worse. Where will visitors park? Don't reduce time of parking.
1	1	Include no return within a certain time limit
1	1	It would help if we saw traffic wardens occasionally
1	1	2 hrs plenty. Saturday parking horrendous. 2hrs must be strictly enforced
1	1	Also reduce DYL to increase parking capacity ASAP
1	1	This will discourage people working in Faversham as wages will not cover parking. 4hrs s/be kept. 2 hrs means problems and knock on affects to the environment
1	1	More traffic wardens to enforce this other wise no point
1	1	Speed restrictions s/be next step. Police presence may help before someone is killed
1	1	Do not take land from Recreation Park or reduce yellow lines
1	1	Does this include our road. Can checks on permit holders be more vigilant. Weekends a nightmare
1	1	Proposals seem reasonable. Pleased you are not taking land from the Recreation ground
1	1	Appreciate being consulted and listened too. Many thanks

St John's Road Area - Proposed Amendment of 4 hour to 2 hour Waiting

				1	KCA has small parking area for staff and clients. Many park in street. Difficult for staff to move cars every 2 hrs. Please don't change system.
--	--	--	--	---	--

1	Would agree to 2 hrs but only at weekend
1	Support restricting non-permit holder parking. Do not support reduction of DYL in this area, particularly Park Rd. Less cars not more in this area please.
1	Cut down 4hrs to 2hrs on only one side of the road
1	Traffic calming or one-way system need here
1	Find a car park for all shop workers who have a permit and block up the roads all day
1	Would like own parking bay. Work late & can never park when I come home
1	Money making scheme. All 2 hrs s/be 4 hrs. DYL removed. Individual bay scheme waste of money
1	Would like to see one way St John and Park Rd. Up St John and Down Park Rd to assist parking and stop the rat run
1	Stop commercial vehicles parking overnight
1	Sooner the better
1	Please leave as 4 hrs. Restrictions only occur during the day, when plenty of spaces. It will make it more inconvenient for visitors. I have very little problem finding a space
1	Not enough paid parking available within vicinity
1	But not enough herring bone parking in all 3 roads plus one way this road is a rat run. You don't listen to residents
1	Happy with 4 hr limit see no benefit to the change
1	I would question the amendments are enough to resolve the parking problem
1	Bays not are enough
1	No shortage of space in St Johns during the week. Strongly object to reduce limit to 2 hrs. Penalises families with young children when we get together. Visitors almost certainly stay longer than 2 hrs but less than 4 hrs. 2 hrs is a step too far

1	Individual parking bays is not practical in St Marys and St Johns. How would this relate to homes with 2 or 3 cars? Concerned by the increasing use of various vehicle permits to park vans and cars owned by friends and relatives of residents who park for a long time eg 6 days plus, denying parking for residents Should have a greater number of free passes supplied with RPP as I will now need many more to give out to my visitors
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	We think it s/be residents only parking. I fought for nearly 2 years to get the DYL in order to facilitate vision and to stop people parking right up to jnc which causes accidents and damage 4 hr parking is not a problem in St Marys Rd. Am a pensioner it would cost me a lot more in parking permit if reduced to 2hr
1	Reducing 4 hr to 2hr will not improve situation. Rather focus on security in area.
1	Do something about M & J Supplies, they have a permit but park in the road all day, evenings and w/ends. They have a driveway, but take up approx. 4 car spaces with one permit
1	Instead of parking in someones drive for 4hrs they will park for 2hrs. What will that achieve
1	Workers in local businesses need the 4hr facility in the day time. Our business of 20+ employees will relocate if you restrict.
1	Reducing the waiting time o/s the church would cause problems for church minister and other church leaders who need longer for their various duties
1	Residents only parking to be considered, there is ample free parking in The Rec car park if gates are left unlocked.
1	Parking permits s/be road specific. St John, St Mary or Chapel Place residents park in Park Rd leaving no room for Park Rd residents.
1	Reduction in cost of resident parking as many non-residents abuse this scheme
1	Difficult for visitors to park in town for more than 4 hrs, so it is good they can park here. If someone came to visit me for the morning it would awkward if they had to move after 2 hrs

St John's Road Area - Proposed Amendment of 4 hour to 2 hour Waiting

1	Suggest Residents only parking with permits and limited to road they live in not area
---	---

1	Reduced waiting time will only work if policed. Reducing DYL not an option I would support unless Park Rd is made one way, in which case they would be done away all together. Would herring-bone parking be possible if all roads in this area were made one-way. They are in fact only single lane at the moment with 2way traffic trying to use them, could you introduce a cycle lane. 20mph would reduce risk of accident. Be marvellous to have designated parking bays as close to each house as possible, as pensioners are unable to go out safely after 5pm without a nearby parked car. Reduced DYL would make it very difficult to negotiate corners safely unless included one-way system	1	
1	2hrs insufficient tim, particulary for carers/family for elderly residents	1	
	2hrs penalised ordinary daytime visitors to residents and increase voucher costs. Problem is business visitors and school drop-off. Encourage those with drives to use them. M & J supplies do not use their yard for parking Problem not caused by length of time non-permit park but by h/holds with 2 or more cars. There is not room for all to park. Staff have problems parking. No facility for employees to purchase parking permits. Scheme will drive employer out of Faversham	1	
	no specific observations	1	
80	TOTAL	27	

Residents Consulted	550
Number of letters reit	107
Return Percentage	19
Support Percentage	75
Object Percentage	25